Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Explanations of the spectral splittings of $CsFeCl_3$ and $CsMnBr_3$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 2327 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/1/13/005)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.90 The article was downloaded on 10/05/2010 at 18:03

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Explanations of the spectral splittings of CsFeCl₃ and CsMnBr₃

Yuanwu Qiu† and Ji-kang Zhu‡

† Department of Physics, Tongji University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China

‡ Shanghai Software Centre, Shanghai, People's Republic of China

Received 8 August 1988, in final form 10 October 1988

Abstract. The electronic structures of the clusters $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ and $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ were calculated with the spin-polarised Ms-X_a method under the actual lower-symmetry (D_{3d}) crystal field of CsFeCl₃ and CsMnBr₃. The calculations enable some of the splittings in the absorption spectra of the crystals to be theoretically explained.

1. Introduction

The electronic structures of divalent first-row transition-metal ions are dominated by spin- and parity-forbidden transitions. The strong enhancement of the forbidden absorptions in the spectra of type ABX₃ (A=monovalent alkali-metal or alkylammonium cation; B=divalent first-row transition metal ion; $X^- = Cl^-$, Br⁻ or I⁻) crystals facilitates assignment of these states, and comparison of the spectra provides a useful empirical characterisation of the mechanism of enhancement. The unusual spectral and magnetic properties of the crystals derive from their unique structures. Studies of CsFeCl₃ and CsMnBr₃ are of significance for studies of type ABX₃ crystals.

All previous X_{α} calculations of transition-metal ion clusters were under O_h site symmetry. Only the value of 10Dq can be obtained. Owing to the restriction of the statistical average approximation of the exchange energy in X_{α} calculations, the energies of the spectral terms involving the two-electron operator cannot be obtained in general. However, in [1] it was suggested that the one-electron model is adequate for explaining the spectral splittings for some molecules and clusters. In [2] the suggestion was extended to the DV- X_{α} framework. The restriction of the statistical average approximation in X_{α} calculations can be solved in some special cases by using lower site symmetries.

We completed a series of calculations for $(NiF_6)^{4-}$ [3] (d⁸ electron configuration of the free central ion), $(CoF_6)^{4-}$ [4] (d⁷) and $(VF_6)^{4-}$ [5] (d³) clusters. In this paper, we calculate the electronic structures of the $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ (d⁶) and $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ (d⁵) clusters with the spin-polarised Ms-X_a method under the actual lower-symmetry (D_{3d}) crystal field of CsFeCl₃ and CsMnBr₃. The calculations enable some of the splittings in the absorption spectra of the crystals to be theoretically explained.

2. Details of calculations

The optical properties of the CsFeCl₃ and CsMnBr₃ crystals can be obtained by calculations on $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ and $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ clusters which are formed with central ions

<i>x</i> (au)	y (au)	<i>z</i> (au)
0	0	0
3.7414	0	2.8580
-1.8707	3.2402	2.8580
-1.8707	-3.2402	2.8580
-3.7414	0	-2.8580
1.8707	-3.2402	-2.8580
1.8707	3.2402	-2.8580
	x (au) 0 3.7414 -1.8707 -1.8707 -3.7414 1.8707 1.8707	$\begin{array}{c ccc} x (au) & y (au) \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ 3.7414 & 0 \\ -1.8707 & 3.2402 \\ -1.8707 & -3.2402 \\ -3.7414 & 0 \\ 1.8707 & -3.2402 \\ 1.8707 & 3.2402 \\ \end{array}$

Table 1. The atomic coordinates of the $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ cluster.

Table 2. The atomic coordinates of the $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ cluster.

	<i>x</i> (au)	y (au)	<i>x</i> (au)
Mn	0	0	0
Br(1)	4.0269	0	3.0801
Br(2)	-2.0134	3.4875	3.0801
Br(3)	-2.0134	-3.4875	3.0801
Br(4)	-4.0269	0	-3.0801
Br(5)	2.0134	-3.4875	-3.0801
Br(6)	2.0134	3.4875	-3.0801

Table 3. One-electron eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$.

O _h	D_{3d}	-E(eV)	Charge distribution
e _g ↓	2e _g ↓	0.868	0.803Fe + 0.020Cl
t _{2g} ↓	a _{1g} ↓	1.804	0.915Fe + 0.005 Cl
t _{2g} ↓	1e _g ↓	1.828	0.919Fe + 0.005 Cl
e_r̃↑	2e _g ↑	3.886	0.459 Fe + 0.078 Cl
t ₂₀ ↑	a_{1s}	5.448	0.645 Fe + 0.047 Cl
t _{2g} ↑	1e _g ↑	5.461	0.629Fe + 0.049 Cl

 Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} and six innermost ligand ions Cl^- and Br^- , respectively. The atomic coordinates of the $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ and $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ clusters are listed in table 1 [6] and table 2 [7], respectively. The site symmetry of Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} is D_{3d} .

The exchange scaling parameters α are taken from the table in [8], and those in the inter-sphere and in the outer-sphere regions are chosen as weighted averages according to the number of valence electrons of the various atoms. The radii of atomic spheres are according to [9] with a reduction factor of 0.88 [10, 11]. There is a little overlap between neighbouring atomic spheres. The overlap between the outermost atomic spheres and the outer sphere is 0.15 au. The effects of the atoms outside the cluster is simulated by a Watson sphere. In the atomic region, the $L_{\rm max}$ of the spherical harmonic parts of the various and 1 for the ligand ions. In the outer sphere, $L_{\rm max}$ is 4.

The computer program used for our calculations was X_{α} -VAXIBM. All calculations were performed on the Siemens 7.570-C computer in Tongji University.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. $CsFeCl_3$

The calculated one-electron eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$ clusters are listed in table 3. From the charge distributions, it can be seen that mixing occurs between ligand components and crystal-field orbitals, especially for spin-up orbitals.

The electron configuration of the free ion Fe^{2+} is d⁶. Under O_h site symmetry the ground state is ${}^{5}T_{2g}$ ($t_{2g}^4e_g^2$), and the lowest excited state is ${}^{5}E_g$ ($t_{2g}^3e_g^3$). The energy difference between these two states is 10Dq [12] and can be obtained by the calculation of the transition energy of $1e_g \downarrow -2e_g \downarrow$ with the transition state theory. The calculated result is 7884 cm⁻¹ which is in good agreement with the experimental result of 7350 cm⁻¹ [13].

				Energy di	fference (cm ⁻¹)
Configuration	O_{h}	\mathbf{D}_{3d}	Electron transition	Calculated	Experiment
$t_{2g}^3 e_g^3$	⁵ E _g	⁵ E _g	$1e_{g}\downarrow -2e_{g}\downarrow$	7 884	7 350
t _{2e} e	${}^{3}T_{1e}$	³ E ₂	$2e_{e}\uparrow -1e_{e}\downarrow$	13 096	12658
t ⁵ _{2g} e ²	${}^{3}T_{1g}^{-1}$	${}^{3}A_{2g}$	$2e_{s}\uparrow -a_{1s}\downarrow$	13 276	12 038
$t_{2g}^{4}e_{g}^{2}$	${}^{3}T_{2g}$	${}^{3}E_{g}$	$2e_{g}\uparrow -2e_{g}\downarrow$	21 078	21 160 21 810
$t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$	${}^{3}T_{2g}$	${}^{3}A_{1g}$	$2e_{g}\uparrow -2e_{g}\downarrow$, $1e_{g}\downarrow -a_{1g}\downarrow$	21 273	21 109, 21 810
$t_{2g}^{4}e_{g}^{2}$	${}^{3}E_{g}$	${}^{3}E_{g}$	$1e_{e}^{\circ}\uparrow -1e_{e}^{\circ}\downarrow$	22 809	22 700
$t_{2g}^{4}e_{g}^{2}$	${}^{3}T_{1e}$	³ E,	$a_{1s} \uparrow -a_{1s} \downarrow$	22 813	22.200 22.270
$t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$	${}^{3}T_{1g}^{-s}$	${}^{3}A_{2g}$	$1e_g \uparrow -a_{1g} \downarrow$	23 015	23 288, 23 3 19

Table 4. Energies of some terms of $(FeCl_6)^{4-}$.

Table 5. One-electron eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$.

O_h	D_{3d}	-E(eV)	Charge distribution
$\begin{array}{c} e_g \downarrow \\ t_{2g} \downarrow \\ t_{2g} \downarrow \\ e_g \uparrow \\ t_{2g} \uparrow \\ t_{2g} \uparrow \end{array}$	$2e_{g} \downarrow \\ a_{1g} \downarrow \\ 1e_{g} \downarrow \\ 2e_{g} \uparrow \\ 1e_{g} \uparrow \\ a_{1g} \uparrow$	0.824 1.613 1.620 4.411 5.998 6.017	0.782Mn + 0.015Br 0.901Mn + 0.003Br 0.912Mn + 0.003Br 0.363Mn + 0.092Br 0.434Mn + 0.076Br 0.467Mn + 0.072Br

The energies for some of the triplet terms of the electron configurations $t_{2g}^5 e_g$ and $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$ were also calculated with the transition state theory. The calculated results together with the experimental results of [13] are listed in table 4. According to the calculated results, we assign the bands near 23 000 cm⁻¹, which were not assigned in [13], to the transition ${}^{3}T_{1g}$ ($t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$)- ${}^{5}T_{2g}$, and explain the bands of 21 169 and 21 810 cm⁻¹ and 23 288 and 23 379 cm⁻¹ to be splittings of the state ${}^{3}T_{2g}$ ($t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$) and ${}^{3}T_{1g}$ ($t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$), respectively, under the lower-symmetry (D_{3d}) crystal field.

3.2. CsMnBr₃

The calculated one-electron eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the cluster $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$ are listed in table 5. The electron configuration of the free ion Mn^{2+} is d⁵. Under O_h site symmetry the ground state is ${}^{6}A_{1g}$ $(t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2})$. The energy difference between ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ $(t_{2g}^{4}e_{g})$ and ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ $(t_{2g}^{2}e_{g}^{3})$ or ${}^{4}T_{1g}$ $(t_{2g}^{4}e_{g})$ and ${}^{4}T_{1g}$ $(t_{2g}^{2}e_{g}^{3})$ equals twice 10Dq [12]. However, even if the lower symmetry is considered, the X_{α} calculation still cannot resolve enough energies in the spectral terms to derive the value of 10Dq. It seems reasonable to take the orbital energy difference of $t_{2g} \downarrow$ and $e_g \downarrow$ as the value of 10Dq. The calculated value of 10Dq is thus 6236 cm⁻¹ which agrees with the fitted experimental value of 7000 cm⁻¹ [14].

The energies for some of the quartet terms of electron configurations $t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$, $t_{2g}^3 e_g^2$ and $t_{2g}^2 e_g^3$ were calculated with the transition state theory. The calculated results together with the experimental results in [15] are listed in table 6. According to the calculated results, we explain the bands 29665 and 29980 cm⁻¹ to be the splitting of the state ${}^4T_{1g}(t_{2g}^3 e_g^2)$ under the lower-symmetry (D_{3d}) crystal field. The fine structures of the absorption spectrum of CsMnBr₃ given in [15] are due to

The fine structures of the absorption spectrum of CsMnBr₃ given in [15] are due to spin-orbit coupling and molecular vibration. The spin-orbit coupling energies of the terms ${}^{4}A_{1g} ({}^{4}A_{1g})$, ${}^{4}E_{g} ({}^{4}E_{g})$, ${}^{4}A_{1g} ({}^{4}T_{2g})$ and ${}^{4}A_{2g} ({}^{4}T_{1g})$ equal zero. The two manifolds

				Energy difference (cm ⁻¹)	
Configuration	O_h	\mathbf{D}_{3d}	Transition	Calculated	Experiment
$t_{2g}^{4}e_{g} \\ t_{2g}^{4}e_{g} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{2} \\ t_{2g}^{3}e_{g}^{3} \\ t_{2g}$	${}^{4}T_{2g}$ ${}^{4}T_{2g}$ ${}^{4}E_{g}$ ${}^{4}T_{1g}$ ${}^{4}T_{1g}$	${}^{4}A_{1g}$ ${}^{4}E_{g}$ ${}^{4}E_{g}$ ${}^{4}E_{g}$ ${}^{4}A_{2g}$ ${}^{4}A_{2g}$	$2e_{g} \uparrow -1e_{g} \downarrow$ $2e_{g} \uparrow -a_{1g} \downarrow$ $2e_{g} \uparrow -2e_{g} \downarrow$ $a_{1g} \uparrow -1e_{g} \downarrow$ $1e_{g} \uparrow -1e_{g} \downarrow$	20 894 21 463 26 611 30 633 30 764 27 202	21 505 27 350, 27 480, 27 630 29 525, 29 610, 29 665 29 980 24 540
$t_{2g}^2 e_g^2$ $t_{2g}^2 e_g^3$	${}^{4}T_{1g}$	${}^{4}A_{2g}$	$1e_{g}\uparrow -2e_{g}\downarrow$	37 376	54 540

Table 6. Energies of some terms of $(MnBr_6)^{4-}$.

Table 7. The spin-orbit splittings of ${}^{4}E_{g} ({}^{4}T_{2g})$ and ${}^{4}E_{g} ({}^{4}T_{1g})$ of CsMnBr₃.

	E' (cm ⁻¹)	E' (cm ⁻¹)	<i>E"</i> (cm ⁻¹)	E'' (cm ⁻¹)	Experimental value
$\frac{{}^{4}\!E_{g}({}^{4}\!T_{2g})}{{}^{4}\!E_{g}({}^{4}\!T_{1g})}$	26 388	26 434	26 495	26 603	26 350, 26 480, 26 550
	29 518	29 564	29 625	29 733	29 525, 29 610, 29 665

of ${}^{4}E_{g} ({}^{4}T_{2g}) (26\,350-26\,550 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and ${}^{4}E_{g} ({}^{4}T_{1g}) (29\,525-29\,665 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ should contain spin-orbit coupling contributions. The expanded one-electron wavefunctions including the effect of the charges in the inter-sphere were obtained by using the charge partition approximation from [16]. The average value of r^{-3} is calculated with the expanded oneelectron eigenfunctions, and the spin-orbit coupling constant ξ was calculated using the following expression:

$$\xi = -\left(Z'e^{2}\hbar^{2}/2m^{2}c^{2}\right)\langle r^{-3}\rangle_{\rm 3d}$$

where Z' is the effective number of charge. The calculated spin-orbit coupling constant ξ of Mn²⁺ is 369 cm⁻¹, and the spin-orbit splittings of ⁴E_g (⁴T_{2g}) and ⁴E_g (⁴T_{1g}) are listed in table 7 together with the experimental results from [15]. E' and E'' are the double-valued representations of group D_{3d}. The agreement between calculations and experiments is fairly good.

References

- [1] Bagus P S and Bennett B I 1975 Int. J. Quantum Chem. 9 143
- [2] Ziegler T, Rand A and Baerends E J 1977 Theor. Chim. Acta 43 261
- [3] Zhu J K, Zhang B and Liu S H 1985 Chem. Phys. Lett. 119 141
- [4] Zhu J K, Chen J F and Liu S H 1987 Sci. Sinica A 30 1226
- [5] Qiu Y and Zhu J K 1988 Technical Digest of the 16th International Quantum Electronics Conf. (Tokyo) (Tokyo: Japan Society of Applied Physics) p 70
- [6] Seifert H J and Klatyk K 1966 Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 42 1
- [7] Goodyear J and Kennedy D J 1972 Acta Crystallogr. B 28 1640
- [8] Schwarz K 1972 Phys. Rev. B 5 2466
- [9] Norman J G Jr 1976 Mol. Phys. 31 1191
- [10] Zhu J K, Li D, Li J and Pan Y K 1985 Sci. Sinica B 28 1243
- [11] Zhu J K, Li D, Li J and Pan Y K 1983 Theor. Chim. Acta 63 223
- [12] Griffith J S 1961 The Theory of Transition-Metal Ions (Cambridge: CUP) p 412
- [13] Putnik C F, Mattney Cole G Jr, Garrett B B and Holt S L 1976 Inorg. Chem. 15 826
- [14] Pappalardo R 1959 J. Chem. Phys. 31 1051
- [15] Mattney Cole G Jr, Putnik C P and Holt S L 1975 Inorg. Chem. 14 2219
- [16] Case D A and Karplus M 1976 Chem. Phys. Lett. 39 33